

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/14/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/070,833	03/11/2002	Andre Belmont	112183	2520
75	90 07/14/2004		EXAMINER	
Oliff & Berridge			KOBERT, RUSSELL MARC	
PO Box 19928 Alexandria, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2829	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summan	10/070,833	BELMONT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Russell M Kobert	2829				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	rely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Ap	oril 2004.					
	<u> </u>					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau	•					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	•					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atent Application (F10-132)				

Art Unit: 2829

1. Applicant's argument filed April 20, 2004 with respect to the Restriction Requirement is persuasive, therefore the prior requirement to elect is hereby withdrawn.

2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

1. Claims 1-5, drawn to process of making, classified in class 29, subclass

593.

II. Claims 6 and 10-13, drawn to apparatus, classified in class 324, subclass

754.

III. Claims 7-9 and 14, drawn to apparatus, classified in class 324, subclass

758.

3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I in a first set and II and III in a second set are related as process of

making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following

can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and

materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another

and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as

claimed (see claim 7) can be made by a process that does not require a first adhesive

layer, a second adhesive layer or a superficial passivation insulating layer.

Inventions III and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions

in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed

does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and

Application/Control Number: 10/070,833

Art Unit: 2829

(2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require a first adhesive metal layer, a second metal layer and a superficial passivation layer. The subcombination has separate utility such as by itself for its intended purpose or in a

Page 3

different combination wherein the use of a flexible insulating film mounted on a support

part in conjunction with a guide and spring suspension further comprising a correcting

system for fault alignment is not required.

4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have

acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and

because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination

purposes as indicated is proper.

5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must

include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be

traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

6. If either Invention II or III is elected, further election of species is required as

follows:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct

species of the claimed invention:

(a) The species of Figure 2A;

(b) The species of Figure 2B;

(c) The species of Figure 2C.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim *appears* to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/070,833

Art Unit: 2829

7. A telephone call was made to the Office of the Attorney of Record on June 28,

2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result

in an election being made.

8. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire one

month(s) from the date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response

will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Russell Kobert whose telephone number is (571) 272-

1963. The Examiner's Supervisor, Kammie Cuneo, can be reached at (571) 272-1957.

For an automated menu of Tech Center 2800 phone numbers call (571) 272-2800.

Russell M. Kobert Patent Examiner

Group Art Unit 2829

June 29, 2004

Page 5